GC-MSおよびLC-MSを用いた冷凍餃子中残留農薬一斉分析法の妥当性評価
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Application of multi-residue analytical method for determination of 496 pesticides in frozen gyoza dumplings by GC-MS and LC-MS
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The applicability of multi-residue analytical method for 496 pesticides (including metabolites) in frozen gyoza dumplings was verified. This method involved extraction using aqueous acetonitrile (around 70% of acetonitrile content) followed by cleanup using octadecylsilyl (ODS) and primary secondary amine (PSA) mini-column solid-phase extraction (SPE). The target compounds were determined by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). Validation tests were performed on frozen gyoza dumplings fortified at 0.01 and 0.10 μg/g in accordance with the guideline issued by the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Among the 496 pesticides tested, 378 pesticides were found to conform to the guideline when the solvent standard was used, and 432 pesticides conformed when the matrix-matched standard was used, respectively. The limits of quantitation (S/N≥10) were set at 0.01 μg/g for all, except 20 of the pesticides that were tested. Although no significant matrix effects were observed for most of the pesticides, the use of the matrix-matched standard was preferable to the solvent standard for accurate quantitation. The method was applied to 11 commercial samples, and 14 different pesticides were detected from 8 samples at concentrations ranging from trace levels (<0.01 μg/g) to 0.11 μg/g.
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Introduction

The Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW) introduced the positive list system for agricultural chemicals in 2006, and established maximum residue limits (MRLs) for about 800 substances and the uniform limit (0.01 μg/g). Although processed foods became the object of regulation by the positive list system, MRLs were established for only a fraction of the foods that are made from a single ingredient (such as cooking oil, cereal processed food, dried fruit and fruit juice). Other processed foods were regulated whether the ingredients meet the MRLs or not, but it is difficult to identify an ingredient in which pesticide could be detected. Therefore regulatory monitoring for processed foods was scarcely performed.

However, food-poisoning outbreaks caused by organophosphorous pesticide in frozen gyoza dumplings were occurred in December 2007 and January 2008, and there were rising concerns about processed foods. These concerns led to an urgent requirement for the analysis of residual pesticides not only in agricultural products but also in processed foods. The official method was only applied to organophosphorous pesticides, and a large number of multi-residue methods were studied. In most of them, ethyl acetate or acetonitrile were used as the extracting solvents. Ethyl acetate is suitable for the extraction of pesticides in lipids and lipophilic compounds which are contained in significant quantities in some kinds of processed foods, but time-consuming cleanup processes such as acetonitrile/hexane partitioning are required to detect residues at the uniform limit level. The amounts of lipophilic compounds that are extracted by acetonitrile are lower than those extracted by ethyl acetate, although there is concern that the extraction efficiency of some lipophilic pesticides is reducing.

We have developed a multi-residue analytical method for
more than 500 pesticides in agricultural products, involving extraction with acetonitrile and determination by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). This method was applied to the regulatory monitoring of 200 samples a year in our institution. Furthermore, we applied the method to studies into the daily intake of pesticide residues in each food group, and we found that we could generate successful results for fish and shellfish, meat and dairy products, which are lipid-rich foods. This suggested that the method could have applicability to processed foods.

In this study, we evaluated the utility of our developed method for processed foods using frozen gyoza dumplings as an example, because a frozen gyoza dumpling was a relatively lower-lipid processed food (its lipid content is about 8%) than other processed foods such as a broiled eel and a canned beef (their lipid contents are about 20%). Validation was performed in accordance with the guideline showed by MHLW. As a result, among the 496 pesticides that we tested (organophosphorous, 101; organonitrogen, 288; organochlorine, 44; N-methylcarbamate, 24; pyrethroid, 22; other, 17 pesticides), 432 met the acceptance criteria of the guideline. The method was applied to frozen gyoza dumpling samples to verify its utility in routine analysis.

Materials and Methods

1. Chemicals and reagents

Acetone, acetonitrile, and anhydrous sodium sulfate (Wako Pure Chemical Ind., Japan), n-hexane and sodium chloride (Kanto Chemical, Japan) were of pesticide analysis grade. The acetonitrile (Wako) that we used for LC-MS was of liquid chromatography grade, and the others were of analytical grade. As an internal standard (IS) solution, triphenylphosphate (TPP) (Wako) and 1-ethyl-3-phenylurea (EPU) (Frinton Laboratories, USA) were mixed at 5 and 10 μg/mL with acetone–n-hexane (1:4). IS solution was added except to the blank sample.

Octadecylsilyl (ODS) mini-column: Isolute C18 (end-capped), 2 g (Biotage, Sweden) was conditioned with 10 mL acetonitrile and 10 mL water; Primary secondary amine (PSA) mini-column: Isolute PSA, 500 mg (Biotage) was conditioned with 6 mL acetone–n-hexane (1:1).

The pesticides and their metabolites were obtained from Wako, Kanto, Sigma-Aldrich, Hayashi Pure Chemical Ind. (Japan) and Dr. Ehrenstorfer (Germany). Individual stock standard solutions (250 μg/mL) were prepared with acetone. Organochlorine, pyrethroid and unstable pesticides in acetone (3 pesticides: dicofol, etrimfos and iprodione) were prepared with n-hexane. Mixed standard solutions each containing 20–30 compounds at 10 μg/mL were prepared from stock solutions. A working standard solution of each pesticide at 1.0 μg/mL was prepared from mixed solutions with acetone. Solvent standard solutions for GC-MS analysis were prepared by evaporating 0.1 or 1.0 mL working standard and 0.10 mL IS solution under a gentle stream of nitrogen, and dissolving with 2.0 mL acetonitrile–n-hexane (1:4). Solvent standard solutions for LC-MS analysis were prepared by evaporating 0.4 mL of each standard solution for GC-MS under a gentle stream of nitrogen, and dissolving with 0.2 mL acetonitrile. Matrix-matched standard solutions for GC-MS and LC-MS were prepared by evaporating blank sample extracts and dissolving with each concentration of the solvent standard solutions.

2. Apparatus

2.1. GC-MS system

The Agilent 6890N gas chromatograph connected to an Agilent 5973 inert mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, USA) was used. GC separation was performed on a HP-5MS (30 m×0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25 μm; Agilent Technologies) with a guard column (ca. 50 cm×0.25 mm i.d., non-coating).

2.2. LC-MS system

The Agilent 1100 series liquid chromatograph, connected to an Agilent MSD SL mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies) equipped with an electrospray interface (ESI) operating in both positive and negative ion modes was used. LC separation was performed on an Ascentis Express C18 (100 mm×3.0 mm, 2.7 μm; Sigma-Aldrich) with an Inertsil ODS3 guard column (10 mm×3.0 mm, 3 μm; GL Sciences). Acetonitrile and 10 mM ammonium acetate aqueous solution were used as the mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. In gradient-elution analysis, the first mobile phase was 15% acetonitrile, increased linearly to 95% in 16 min, and held at 95% for 9 min.

The operating conditions for GC-MS and LC-MS were as described in a previous paper. Analytical informations for GC-MS and LC-MS, such as retention time and the monitor ion for each pesticide, were shown in Supplemental Table S1–S2.

3. Sample preparation

All of the food samples were collected at local markets in the Hyogo Prefecture during 2008–2009. The samples were chopped in a food processor and stored at −20°C until analysis. The samples, fortified with 496 pesticides at 0.01 μg/g and 0.10 μg/g, were prepared daily for recovery tests. For recovery test, 0.10 mL or 1.0 mL working standard solution and 0.10 mL IS solution were added to 10 g sample. After standing for 30 min, the sample preparation was performed as described below.

The sample preparation was nearly performed as previously described. A 10 g portion of chopped sample was extracted with 15 mL water and 60 mL acetonitrile, and then filtered. The filtrate was cleaned up using an ODS mini-column, and then acetonitrile was separated by salting-out with 10 mL of 2 M phosphate buffer saturated brine solution (pH 7) and 6 g sodium chloride. A 45 mL aliquot of acetonitrile layer was collected and evaporated to dryness. The residue was dis-
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Fig. 1. Sample preparation method for pesticides in frozen gyoza dumplings.

Table 2. Pesticides that did not meet the criteria for selectivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Pesticide</th>
<th>Instrument for determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Acephate</td>
<td>LC-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Clothianidin</td>
<td>LC-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cycloprothrin</td>
<td>LC-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cymoxanil</td>
<td>LC-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dioxathion</td>
<td>LC-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Emamectin B1a FA</td>
<td>LC-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Emamectin B1a MFA</td>
<td>LC-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hexythiazox</td>
<td>LC-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Methamidophos</td>
<td>LC-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Oxponazone formyl</td>
<td>LC-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Phoxim</td>
<td>LC-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Propamocarb</td>
<td>LC-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Pyrethrin /</td>
<td>LC-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Tepraloxydim</td>
<td>LC-MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Trichlorfon</td>
<td>LC-MS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Validation test
To prove its validity, the developed method must meet the criteria for certain validation characteristics. The validation characteristics to be considered are selectivity, limit of quantitation (LOQ), recovery and precision. The evaluation of these parameters was conducted as previously described. Briefly, selectivity: the absence of interference peaks for the quantitation of target compounds was confirmed by analysis of a blank sample; LOQ: LOQ was set at 0.01 μg/g and it was judged whether the peak of each pesticide corresponding to 0.01 μg/g was S/N ≥10; accuracy (recovery) and precision: the recovery test was conducted with a nested design to evaluate repeatability and intermediate precision at the same time.

Table 1 shows the acceptance criteria for recovery and precision at each fortified level. The proposed method was evaluated for mean recovery, repeatability and intermediate precision in accordance with these criteria.

Results and Discussion

1. Validation test
1.1. Selectivity
Table 2 shows the pesticides that didn’t meet the criteria for selectivity. These 15 pesticides could not be quantitated with both target ions and qualifier ions, owing to interference peaks that were detected in both GC-MS and LC-MS analysis. All of them were targets for LC-MS analysis except for dioxathion and pyrethrin I. On the other hand, acephate, methamidophos, phoxim, propamocarb, tepraloxydim and trichlorfon were also targets for GC-MS. Moreover, interference peaks for the target ions of 54 pesticides were observed, but these pesticides could be quantitated with qualifier ions. For other pesticides, interference peaks over one-third of the peak area corresponding to LOQ (0.01 μg/g) were not observed.

These results could be attributed to the control of acetonitrile content in extracting. In this method, 15 mL water was added in extracting to make the acetonitrile content around 70–75% (the water content of a frozen gyoza dumpling is about 60%). Lee et al. reported that the use of aqueous acetonitrile (around 70% of acetonitrile content) brought about good extraction and purification efficiency. In addition, cholesterol and fatty acids, which interfered with the quantitation...
and quantification of the target pesticides on GC-MS analysis, were eliminated by increasing the amount of packing sorbent (ODS mini-column: from 1 g to 2 g; PSA mini-column: from 0.2 g to 0.5 g).

1.2. LOQ

Barban, isoxaflutole, linuron and triforine exhibited low sensitivity when analyzed by LC-MS, and the peaks corresponding to 0.01 µg/g did not meet S/N ≥10 in the presence of the frozen gyoza dumpling matrix. DCIP analyzed by GC-MS was highly volatile, so the peak for DCIP that corresponds to 0.01 µg/g also did not meet S/N ≥10 because of the reduction in concentration during sample preparation. The other pesticides that were tested (except for the pesticides shown in Table 2) were confirmed to have an LOQ values of 0.01 µg/g (S/N ≥10).

1.3. Accuracy (recovery) and precision

The results of the recovery tests for the 496 pesticides are summarized in Table 3, and the details are shown in Supplement Table S3.

First of all, the analytical precision was evaluated. Highly volatile pesticides (butylate, diphenyl, EPTC and metaldehyde), lipophilic pesticides (mirex and silaflucon) and degradable pesticide (amitraz) exhibited considerable variability. In total, 13 pesticides did not meet the criteria for precision with both the solvent and the matrix-matched standard, while amitraz and bromacil failed in terms of the matrix-matched standard.

Then the recovery was evaluated, excluding pesticides with considerable variability. Eighty-five pesticides were found to not meet the criteria for recovery when the recovery data were calculated using the solvent standard; 34 pesticides showed high recovery (120.4–198.7%) and 51 showed low recovery (25.8–69.5%). All of the pesticides, that showed high recovery with the solvent standard, improved to 79.4–119.9% by calculating using the matrix-matched standard. About 80% of them were targets for GC-MS analysis, and they included high molecular weight (around 400) compounds (such as pyrethroids, butafenacil, dimethomorph and fluthiacet-methyl). High molecular weight pyrethroids in a solvent standard generally give poor peak shapes. This phenomenon causes decrements of the chromatographic response in a solvent standard. This indicated that their high recoveries which were observed with the solvent standard were caused by matrix effects. On the other hand, 21 of the pesticides, which showed low recovery with the solvent standard, improved to 70.1–102.7% by calculating using a matrix-matched standard, and all of the targets for LC-MS analysis improved, except for amitraz which is easily hydrolyzed.

The pesticides, that did not meet the criteria for recovery with the matrix standard, included 12 organochlorines (aldrin, hexachlorobenzene and others), 6 pyrethroids (bifenthrin, resmethrin and others) and 3 lipophilic organophosphorous (bromophos-ethyl, chlorothoxyfis and prothiofis). It was considered that they were insufficiently extracted by the acetanilide or were retained on the ODS mini-column, due to their lipophilicity (their log P<sub>oct</sub> values are approximately more greater than 4.5).

Six pesticides (butylate, cypermethrin, diphenyl, etridiazole, flonicamid metabolite and metaldehyde) showed higher recoveries at 0.01 µg/g than those at 0.10 µg/g. As a reason, it was considered that following 2 phenomena resulted in more pronounced decreases of these pesticides at 0.01 µg/g. Firstly, butylate, diphenyl, etridiazole and metaldehyde were volatilized in preparing the solvent standard solution because of their high-volatility. The results of matrix-matched standard methods showed same results, because the matrix-matched standard was prepared with the standard solution; secondly, cypermethrin and flonicamid metabolite in the solvent standard were adsorbed on active sites in the GC system, as evidenced by the fact that only the recoveries with the solvent standard showed higher recoveries at 0.01 µg/g than that at 0.10 µg/g.

Finally, among the 496 pesticides tested, 378 and 432 were found to meet all of the guideline criteria by calculating using the solvent standard and matrix-matched standard methods, respectively.

### Table 3. Results of recovery test for 496 pesticides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of pesticides</th>
<th>Solvent standard</th>
<th>Matrix standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>met the criteria&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>did not meet the criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low recovery (&lt;70%)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high recovery (&gt;120%)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>considerable variability&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not tested</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>did not meet the criteria for LOQ</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>did not meet the criteria for selectivity</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- <sup>a</sup>The pesticide met the acceptance criteria for recovery and precision.
- <sup>b</sup>The pesticide did not meet the acceptance criteria for repeatability (RSD %) or intermediate precision (RSD %).
Table 4. Distribution of matrix effect value in frozen gyoza dumplings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrix effect value</th>
<th>Proportion of pesticides (%)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01 µg/g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10 µg/g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5–&lt;0.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6–&lt;0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7–&lt;0.8</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0±0.2</td>
<td>85.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2–&lt;1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3–&lt;1.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4–&lt;1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>N1/N2×100. N1: Number of pesticides having each matrix effect value (the leftmost column). N2: Number of pesticides meeting the acceptance criteria for recovery and precision with the matrix-matched standard. <sup>b</sup>R/R2: R: Recovery calculated for the matrix-matched standard. R2: Recovery calculated for the solvent standard.

Effect values over 1.2 at 0.01 µg/g, and about 85% of them were targets for LC-MS analysis.

The use of a matrix-matched standard is considered to be preferable for accurate quantitation.

3. Monitoring results

We applied the proposed method to the routine analysis of pesticides in 11 frozen gyoza dumplings made in China during FYs 2008–2009. Table 5 summarizes the monitoring results and MRLs of representative ingredients of the dumplings.

Fourteen different pesticides were detected from 8 samples at concentrations ranging from trace levels (<0.01 µg/g) to 0.11 µg/g, and 57% of the total detected numbers were at trace levels. Five of 8 samples contained multi-residues, and 9 different pesticides were detected from a sample at most. Carbendazim, chlorpyrifos and iprodione were frequently detected, and their detection ratios were 46.7%, 26.7% and 26.7%, respectively.

When a pesticide is detected in a processed food, we have to convert the detection level to the residue level in an ingredient of the processed food, and judge whether its residue level is lower than the MRL. The MRLs of the pesticides that could be detected by this routine analysis, are set at relatively high levels for cabbage, chinese chive, welsh onion and pork-muscle which are the main ingredients of the dumplings. Although the composition ratio of the ingredients in these frozen gyoza dumpling samples were not specified, it was considered that the residue levels didn’t exceed the MRLs in each ingredient, given that the detection levels much lower.

Table 5. Pesticide residues detected from 11 frozen gyoza dumplings during FY 2008–2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pesticide</th>
<th>Detected number&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/g)</th>
<th>MRLs of representative ingredients (µg/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wheat flour&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Pork muscle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3OH-Carbofuran</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tr.</td>
<td>0.01&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbendazim</td>
<td>7(4)</td>
<td>Tr., 0.01, 0.03, 0.05, 0.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>4(1)</td>
<td>Tr., 0.01</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p,p’-DDE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tr.</td>
<td>0.01&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endosulfan sulfate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tr.</td>
<td>___</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenvilerae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tr.</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iprodione</td>
<td>4(3)</td>
<td>Tr., 0.01, 0.04, 0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iprodione met.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isofenphos-methyl</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malathion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tr.</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procymidone</td>
<td>2(2)</td>
<td>0.01, 0.10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrimethanil</td>
<td>2(1)</td>
<td>Tr., 0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triadimefon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tr.</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triadimenol</td>
<td>2(2)</td>
<td>0.02, 0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Number in parentheses shows the detected number at ≥0.01 µg/g. <sup>b</sup>except whole grain. <sup>c</sup>MRLs as Carbofuran. <sup>d</sup>MRLs as DDT. <sup>e</sup>Endosulfan sulfate is exempt from regulations in Japan. Tr.: Trace level (≥0.001 µg/g, but <0.010 µg/g). Italics: Uniform limit. met.: metabolite.
than the MRLs.

The applicability of the proposed method for residual pesticides in frozen gyoza dumplings was verified. This method allowed analysis at 0.01 μg/g without any matrix interference and showed good recoveries and good precisions for 432 pesticides. This indicates that the method could be an efficient and reliable tool for regulatory monitoring of many residual pesticides in frozen gyoza dumplings.

On the other hand, these results were based on only the recovery tests that were conducted using the samples to which the working standard was directly added. Therefore, some pesticides (especially lipophilic pesticides) could show lower residual concentrations in lipid samples due to insufficiency of extraction by the acetonitrile. It is necessary to confirm the extraction efficiency by comparing our proposed method to the methods which are able to extract efficiently pesticides from lipid samples.
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GC-MS および LC-MS を用いた冷凍餃子中残留農薬一斉分析法の妥当性評価

松岡智郎，秋山由美，三橋隆夫

既存の農産物中残留農薬一斉分析法を加工食品中の一斉分析法として適用するために、冷凍餃子を用いて検証を行った。アセトニトリルで抽出後，ODS ミニカラムおよび PSA ミニカラムを用いた固相抽出により精製し，GC-MS および LC-MS で測定を行った。妥当性評価は厚生労働省より
示されたガイドライン（食品中に残留する農薬等に関する
試験法の妥当性評価ガイドライン）に従って実施した。その
結果、農薬496種のうち432種がガイドラインの目標値
に適合し、それらの定量限界値はすべて0.01 ppmを満足し
た。冷凍餃子11検体のモニタリング検査に本法を適用した
ところ、8検体から14種農薬が底値値（0.01 ppm未満）か
ら0.11 ppmの残留値で検出されたが、これらの基準値に適
合した原材料に由来するものと考えられた。本分析法は冷
凍餃子中残留農薬の多成分一斉分析法として有用であるこ
とが確認できた。